

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

PCT

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
see form PCT/ISA/220

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**  
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.  
PCT/EP2004/012358

International filing date (day/month/year)  
02.11.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)  
04.11.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  
C08F10/00, C08F4/642, C07F17/00, C07D333/52

Applicant  
BASELL POLYOLEFINE GMBH

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office  
D-80298 Munich  
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx. 523656 epmu d  
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Thomas, D

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7837



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

---

**Box No. I Basis of the opinion**

---

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material:

a sequence listing  
 table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material:

in written format  
 in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing:

contained in the international application as filed.  
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/EP2004/012358

**Box No. II Priority**

1.  The following document has not been furnished:

copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).  
 translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).

Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

2.  This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.

3.  It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

4. Additional observations, if necessary:

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims  
No: Claims 1-10

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims  
No: Claims 1-10

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-10  
No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

**Box No. VI Certain documents cited**

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

**Re Item V.**

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1 : RYABOV A N ET AL: "ZIRCONIUM COMPLEXES WITH CYCLOPENTADIENYL LIGANDS INVOLVING FUSED A THIOPHENE FRAGMENT" ORGANOMETALLICS, ACS, COLUMBUS, OH, US, vol. 21, no. 14, 2002, pages 2842-2855, XP001106373 ISSN: 0276-7333

D2 : EP 0 549 900 A (HOECHST AG) 7 July 1993 (1993-07-07)

2 Novelty

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document): a zirconium complex with a cyclopentadienyl ligand involving a fused thiophene fragment ( see figure 9, page 2849). The document further discloses that this class of complexes are regarded as "new promising polymerization catalysts". (page 2842, lines 1-16; see also footnotes 1,2,4 cited in D1).

As a consequence, the subject-matter of claims 1-10 is not novel towards D1.

2.2 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D2 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document): benzo fused metallocene complexes as catalyst components for the polymerization of olefines (page 1, lines 1-3). In example 1,2 on page 17 dimethyl silyl bis(2-methyl-4,5-benzoindenyl)zirconium dichloride is used in combination with MAO as catalyst system for the polymerization of propylene.

As a consequence, the subject-matter of claims 1,5-10 is not novel towards D2.

**Re Item VI**

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING  
AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/012358

**Certain documents cited**

**Certain published documents - Rule 70.10**

Application No Patent No	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)
W0 03/106470 - A1	24.12.2003	28.05.2003	12.06.2002
			31.07.2002

The above mentioned patent application is not considered part of the prior art for the purpose of international preliminary examination as to novelty and inventive step. The document may be relevant to the determination of novelty and inventive step by designated or elected offices.